Comparative Analysis of the Performance of Six Models for the Estimation of Global Solar Radiation for Katsina, Nigeria
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Abstract: Solar radiation data is an essential pre-requisite for the designing, sizing and performing evaluation of any solar energy conversion system in any part of the globe, even though solar radiation data are not readily available for many location of many developing countries such as Nigeria, hence the needs to rely on empirical models. There are many developed models across the globe for the estimation of solar radiation, to identify the optimum performing model for locations such as Katsina requires the comparism between the various models. In this study, performance evaluation of six selected models for the estimation of global solar radiation was carried out for Katsina location, Nigeria. The models were formed from different combinations of some meteorological parameters (sunshine hours, relative humidity and temperatures) obtained for a period of ten years (2006-2015) from Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NIMET). Monthly average extraterrestrial global solar radiation was evaluated for the locations. The data was analysed to find the empirical constants for all the selected models in the locations. Estimated values of global solar radiation was obtained from the six selected models. The estimated values were then compared using statistical parameters (mean bias error (MBE), mean percentage error (MPE), root mean square error (RMSE) and coefficient of determinant (R²)). Model 6 was found to be the optimum model for Katsina because it fitted the measured data most for each month of year based on various statistical parameters used for the analysis.
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1. Introduction

It is becoming glaringly clear that energy demand may not be met by sources from fossil fuels alone as such the need to complemented energy sources from fossil fuels with sources from that of renewable which are sustainable and environmentally friendly such as solar and wind. This is because the availability of cheap, sustainable and abundant supply of energy is not only an index of measuring standard of living of any nation, but also an indicator of its level of Industrialization [1]. Increase in population coupled with technological advancement cause the demand for energy to increase both in developed and developing countries [2]. The progress of a nation is sometimes compared in terms of per capita consumption of energy i.e. the amount of energy consumed per person per year [3]. Energy comes from many sources and most of these energy sources are substitutable to one another due to the fact that energy can be converted from one form of energy to another- such as: Coal to electricity, Use of photo-electricity to derive a chemical reaction, Wind energy to pump and store water that could be used to produce electricity when required, Solid biomass to produce liquid or gaseous fuels of higher calorific value, etc: [4].

Almost all the energy sources originate entirely from the sun. In general, the sun supplies the energy absorbed in the short term by the earth’s atmosphere and oceans but in the
long term by the lithosphere where the fossil fuels are embedded [5]. Another aspect of solar energy is the interception of sunlight by plants and is transformed by photosynthesis into biomass. Solar energy can be tapped directly (solar thermal and solar photovoltaic) or indirectly as with wind biomass and hydropower; or as fossil fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas. Sunlight is by far the largest carbon-free energy source in the planet [4]. Solar radiation is the most important natural energy resource because it drives all environmental processes acting at the surface of the Earth. The sun is an internal energy generator and distributor responsible for most of our easily accessible energy resources including oil, coal, etc [3].

Solar radiation is a primary driver for many physical, chemical, and biological processes on the earth’s surface. Solar energy engineers, architects, agriculturists, hydrologists, etc. often require a reasonable accurate knowledge of the availability of the solar resource for their relevant applications at their locality. In solar applications, one of the most important parameters needed is the long-term average daily global radiation for regions where no actual measured values are available. Almost all the energy sources originate entirely from the sun. In general, the sun supplies the energy absorbed in the short term by the earth’s atmosphere and oceans but in the long term by the lithosphere where the fossil fuels are embedded [5].

Another aspect of solar energy is the interception of sunlight by plants and is transformed by photosynthesis into biomass. Solar energy can be tapped directly (solar thermal and solar photovoltaic) or indirectly as with wind biomass and hydropower; or as fossil fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas. Sunlight is by far the largest carbon-free energy source in the planet [4]. Solar radiation is the most important natural energy resource because it drives all environmental processes acting at the surface of the Earth. The sun is an internal energy generator and distributor responsible for most of our easily accessible energy resources including oil, coal, etc [3] 8. Solar radiation is a primary driver for many physical, chemical, and biological processes on the earth’s surface. Solar energy engineers, architects, agriculturists, hydrologists, etc. often require a reasonable accurate knowledge of the availability of the solar resource for their relevant applications at their locality. In solar applications, one of the most important parameters needed is the long-term average daily global radiation for regions where no actual measured values are available.

Solar energy is the ultimate source of energy that is infinite, environmentally friendly and in-exhaustible for any practical application. However, solar radiation data is basic necessities for performance and evaluation of any solar energy systems. The best way to collect solar radiation is to set up a weather measuring stations at the desired locations of interest but these is not easy for all practical desired locations even in developed countries talkless of developing nations such as Nigeria. The alternative approach is to correlate the global radiation with the meteorological parameters where the data can be collected [6].

A common practice is to estimate average daily global solar radiation using appropriate empirical correlations models based on the measured relevant data at those locations. These correlations estimate the values of global solar radiation for a region of interest from more readily available meteorological, climatological, and geographical parameters.

The aim of this research is to evaluate and compare the performance of six selected models for estimation of global solar radiation using various meteorological parameters for Katsina, in Northwest, Nigeria.

1.1. Estimation of Global Solar Radiation Techniques

The first correlation proposed for the estimating of the monthly average daily global radiation is based on the method of Angstrom, the original Angstrom-Prescott type regression equation-related monthly average daily radiation to clear day radiation in a given location and average fraction of possible sunshine hours is given by [5, 7] as:

\[
\frac{H}{H_o} = a + b \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right) \quad (1)
\]

Where:
- \( H \) the monthly average daily global radiation on a horizontal surface;
- \( H_o \) monthly average daily extraterrestrial radiation on a horizontal surface;
- \( S \) monthly average daily hours of bright sunshine;
- \( S_o \) monthly average day length;
- \( a, b \) are known as Angstrom constants and they are empirical.

The correlation used for evaluation of monthly average clearness index is as used by [8]:

The clearness index \( K_t = \frac{H}{H_o} \)

1.2. Theoretical Consideration

Solar researchers have developed many empirical correlations which determine the relation between solar radiation and various meteorological parameters. The parameters used as the input of radiation model are the most important key to choose the proper radiation model at any location [2].

Empirical models can be mainly classified into four categories based on the employed meteorological parameters: Sunshine-based models. Cloud-based models. Temperature-based models. Other meteorological parameter-based models.

Among all such meteorological parameters, bright sunshine hours, relative humidity and temperature are the most widely and commonly used ones to predict global solar radiation and its components at any location of interest [9]. Solar radiation models can also be classified as: Linear Models and Non-linear Models; depending on the type of relationships between the parameters.
1.3. Extraterrestrial Solar Radiation

Some variation in the extraterrestrial solar radiation above the atmosphere are not due to solar changes but rather to the earth sun distance throughout the year, the monthly average extraterrestrial solar radiation on a horizontal surface (\(H_0\)) can be computed from the following equation [14; 15]:

\[
H_0 = I_{sc} \left( \frac{24}{n} \right) E_o \left[ \sin \phi \sin \delta + \cos \phi \cos \delta \sin \omega_s \right]
\]

(2)

Where,

- \(H_0\) is the extraterrestrial solar radiation on horizontal surface
- \(I_{sc}\) is solar constant = 1367 W/m²
- \(n\) is the n\textsuperscript{th} day of the year (Jan 1=1; Dec 31=365)
- \(E_o\) is the Earth’s Eccentricity Factor = 1 + \(0.033\cos \left( \frac{360 \text{dn}}{365} \right)\)
- \(\phi\) is Latitude of the location
- \(\delta\) Declination = 23.45\(\sin \left( \frac{360 \text{dn}}{365} \right) + 284\)
- \(\omega_s\) is sunset hour angle in degrees = \(\cos^{-1} - \tan \delta \tan \phi\)

1.4. Statistical Test Parameters

The results obtained from various models (linear and non-linear) will be compared with measured values through the following statistical test:

1.4.1. Mean Bias Error (MBE)

The mean bias error (MBE) provides information on the long-term performance of the correlations by allowing a comparison of the actual deviation between calculated and measured values term by term, the ideal value of the MBE is zero, the MBE is given by:

\[
MBE = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (y_i - x_i)
\]

(3)

Where, \(y_i\) is the \(i\textsuperscript{th}\) calculated values; \(x_i\) is the \(i\textsuperscript{th}\) measured value, and \(k\) is the total number of observations.

1.4.2. Root Means Square Error (RMSE)

The root mean square error (RMSE) is a frequently used measure of the differences between values predicted by a model and the values actually observed from the thing being modeled or estimated. RMSE is a good measure of precision. The value of RMSE is always positive, representing zero in the ideal case. The RMSE may be computed from the following equation.

Where, \(y_i\) is the \(i\textsuperscript{th}\) calculated values; \(x_i\) is the \(i\textsuperscript{th}\) measured value, and \(k\) is the total number of observations

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (y_i - x_i)^2}
\]

(4)

1.4.3. Mean Percentage Error (MPE%)

The mean percentage error (MPE) is the computed average of percentage errors by which forecasts of a model differ from actual values of the quantity being forecast.

\[
MPE\% = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i} \right) \times 100
\]

(5)

Where, \(y_i\) is the actual value of the quantity being forecast, \(x_i\) is the forecast, and \(k\) is the number of different times for which the variables is forecast.

1.4.4. Mean Relative Error (MRE)

The MRE can be used to test for determining the linear relationship between measured and estimated values.

Where, \(y_i\) is the \(i\textsuperscript{th}\) calculated values; \(x_i\) is the \(i\textsuperscript{th}\) measured value, and \(n\) is the total number of observations

\[
MRE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{y_i - x_i}{x_i} \right|
\]

(6)

1.4.5. Correlation Coefficient (r)

The Pearson correlation coefficient often referred to as the Pearson r test, is a statistical formula that measures the strength between variables and relationships, to determine how strong the relationship is between two variables, you need to find the coefficient value which can range between 0.01 and 1.00.

\[
R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{k} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}
\]

(7)

1.4.6. Coefficient of Determinant (R²)

The coefficient of determinant (R²) is a key output of regression analysis. It is interpreted as the proportion of the variance in the dependent variable that is predictable from the independent variable.

---

**Table 1.** The linear and None linear regression models and their sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Model Equation</th>
<th>Source.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(H = a + b (\frac{S}{S_o}))</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(H = c + e (\frac{S}{S_o}) + f (\frac{T_{\text{min}}}{T_{\text{max}}}) + g (\frac{RH_{\text{max}}}{RH}))</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(H = h + i (\frac{S}{S_o}) + j(RH))</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(H = k (\frac{S}{S_o})^4)</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(H = m + n (\frac{S}{S_o}) + o \exp (\frac{S}{S_o}))</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(H = p (\frac{S}{S_o}) T_{\text{max}}^4 RH^4)</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where,

- \(H\) Monthly average daily global radiation on horizontal surface
- \(H_o\) Monthly average daily extraterrestrial radiation on horizontal surface
- \(S\) Monthly average daily bright sunshine duration
- \(S_o\) Monthly average maximum possible daily sunshine duration
- \(T_{\text{min}}\) Mean minimum daily temperature
- \(T_{\text{max}}\) Mean maximum daily temperature
- \(RH\) Mean relative humidity
- \(RH_{\text{max}}\) Maximum relative humidity
- \(a - r\) are regression coefficients
$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(x_i - y_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

Where, $y_i$ is the $i^{th}$ calculated values; $x_i$ is the $i^{th}$ measured value, and $k$ is the total number of observations.

The models with close value of $R$ and $R^2$ to 1, and least value of RMSE and MPE will be said to be a better fit to the measured data at each of the selected location.

2. Materials and Method

The ten years daily (2006-2015) average solar radiation sunshine hours, relative humidity, maximum relative humidity, maximum and minimum temperatures for the study area was obtained from Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NIMET), Abuja Nigeria. The geographical co-ordinates of the location is as shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Katsina</td>
<td>12.5139°N</td>
<td>7.6114°E</td>
<td>513m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data was summarized and presented in table 3, which is used in the carefully selected models.

2.1. Formation of Parametric Equations

From the selected six selected models (three linear and other three non-linear models), the non-linear models were

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} = cn + d \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right) + e \sum \left( \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right) + f \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot \frac{S}{S_o} = c \sum (S) + d \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right)^2 + e \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \cdot \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right) + f \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \cdot \frac{S}{S_o} \right)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} = c \sum T_{min} + d \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \cdot \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right) + e \sum \left( \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right)^2 + f \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \cdot \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} = c \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right) + d \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \cdot \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right) + e \sum \left( \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \cdot \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right) + f \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right)^2$$

2.2. Parametric Equations for Model 1

This model is the Angstrom-Prescott model involving only sunshine duration given as:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = a + b \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right)$$

Its parametric equations were formed as:

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} = an + b \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot \frac{S}{S_o} = a \sum S + b \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right)^2$$

2.3. Parametric Equations for Model 2

This model is a Linear Model involving Sunshine hour, temperature in degree Celsius and Relative humidity expressed as:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = c + d \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right) + e \left( \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right) + f \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right)$$

Its parametric equations were formed as:

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} = cn + d \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right) + e \sum \left( \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right) + f \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot \frac{S}{S_o} = c \sum (S) + d \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right)^2 + e \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \cdot \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right) + f \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \cdot \frac{S}{S_o} \right)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} = c \sum T_{min} + d \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \cdot \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right) + e \sum \left( \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right)^2 + f \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \cdot \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} = c \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right) + d \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \cdot \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right) + e \sum \left( \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \cdot \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right) + f \sum \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right)^2$$

2.4. Parametric Equations for Model 3

This model is a linear model involving sunshine hours and relative humidity expressed as:

$$\frac{H}{H_o} = g + h \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right) + j(RH)$$

Its parametric equations were formed as:

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} = gn + h \sum \frac{S}{S_o} + j \sum (RH)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot \frac{S}{S_o} = g \sum \frac{S}{S_o} + h \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \right)^2 + j \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \cdot (RH) \right)$$

$$\sum \frac{H}{H_o} \cdot (RH) = g \sum (RH) + h \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_o} \cdot (RH) \right) + j \sum (RH)^2$$

2.5. Parametric Equations for Model 4

This model is a non-linear model involving sunshine hour expressed as:
\[ \frac{H}{H_0} = k \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)^t \]

Its parametric equations were formed as:
\[
\sum \ln \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right) = n \ln k + l \sum \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)
\]
\[
\sum \left( \ln \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right) \cdot \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) \right) = \ln k \sum \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + l \sum \left( \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) \right)^2
\]

2.6. Parametric Equations for Model 5

This model is a non-linear model involving multi-sunshine hour expressed as:
\[
\frac{H}{H_0} = m + p \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + q \exp \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)
\]

Its parametric equations were formed as:
\[
\sum \frac{H}{H_0} = mn + p \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + q \sum \exp \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)
\]
\[
\sum \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \cdot \frac{S}{S_0} \right) = m \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + p \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)^2 + q \sum \left( \exp \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) \right)^2
\]
\[
\sum \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right) \cdot \exp \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) = m \sum \exp \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + p \sum \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) \cdot \exp \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + q \sum \left( \exp \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) \right)^2
\]

2.7. Parametric Equations for Model 6

This model is a non-linear model involving sunshine hour, temperature in degree Celsius and relative humidity expressed as:
\[
\frac{H}{H_0} = r \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)^q T_{max}^r RH^s
\]

Its parametric equations were formed as:
\[
\sum \ln \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right) = n \ln r + s \sum \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + t \sum \ln \left( T_{max} \right) + u \sum \ln \left( RH \right)
\]
\[
\sum \ln \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right) \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) = \ln r \sum \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + s \sum \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)^2 + t \sum \ln \left( T_{max} \right) \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + u \sum \ln \left( RH \right) \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)
\]
\[
\sum \ln \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right) \ln \left( T_{max} \right) = \ln r \sum \ln \left( T_{max} \right) + s \sum \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) \ln \left( T_{max} \right) + t \sum \ln \left( T_{max} \right)^2 + u \sum \ln \left( RH \right) \ln \left( T_{max} \right)
\]
\[
\sum \ln \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right) \ln \left( RH \right) = \ln r \sum \ln \left( RH \right) + s \sum \ln \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) \ln \left( RH \right) + t \sum \ln \left( T_{max} \right) \ln \left( RH \right) + u \sum \ln \left( RH \right)^2
\]

The empirical constants evaluated by solving the parametric equations were obtained and results substituted in to the relevant correspondent models for the Katsina Location:

Model 1: \[
\frac{H}{H_0} = -0.24 + 1.331 \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) \] (8)

Model 2: \[
\frac{H}{H_0} = 0.566 + 1.013 \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + (-0.650) \left( \frac{T_{min}}{T_{max}} \right) + (-0.280) \left( \frac{RH}{RH_{max}} \right) \] (9)

Model 3: \[
\frac{H}{H_0} = 0.264 + 0.820 \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) + (-0.004) \left( RH \right) \] (10)

Model 4: \[
\frac{H}{H_0} = 1.178 \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right)^{1.495} \] (11)
3. Results and Discussions

The extraterrestrial solar radiation calculated for the locations is as shown in the Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Katsina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>30.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>33.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>36.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>38.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>38.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>37.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>37.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>37.817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>36.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>34.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>31.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>29.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>35.225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The yearly average measured data for solar radiation, sunshine hours, relative humidity, maximum relative humidity, maximum and minimum temperature for Katsina locations is as shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>The Yearly Average Data Values for Katsina.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Months</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>8.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>7.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>7.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>8.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>8.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>7.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The solar radiation was estimated using the six selected models and results obtained is compared with the monthly average measured values for Katsina locations as shown in figure 1.

The performance of each of the six selected models were compared using the statistical indicators mentioned above. Generally low values of MBE, RMSE, MPE and MRE are desirable for better performance, while the value of $R^2$ closer to unity (1) indicates a good performance. The RMSE test provides information on the short-term performance, the MBE, MPE test provides information on the long-term performance. Positive values on the other hand is an indication of over estimates for MBE, RMSE, MPE and MRE and vice versa [16]. The results obtained from the statistical test is presented in table 5 below.
values of solar radiation data as can be seen in figure 1. However, comparing the models, model 6 can be said to be the optimum performing model with lowest value of RMSE and MRE as well as having values of $R^2$ closest to unity (1) followed by model 2, 1, 4, 3 with model 5 as the least performing model in the location.

### 4. Conclusion

From the six model selected for this analysis, three linear and three non-linear models considered both models performed reasonably well when compared with the monthly average values of solar radiation measured for Katsina location. However, model 6 appeared to be the best performing model compared to the other models followed by model 2, 1, 4, 3 and model 5 the least performing using the 5 statistical models.

All the six models can be used depending on the available metrological parameters available to reasonably estimate the monthly average global solar radiation for Katsina location. For a precise estimation of monthly average solar radiation data model 6 is recommended.
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